ABSTRACT: Liquid electrolytes currently used in lithium-ion batteries have critical drawbacks such as high flammability, high reactivity toward electrode materials, and solvent leakage. To overcome these issues, most recent research has focused on synthesis and characterization of highly conductive gel-type polymer electrolytes containing large numbers of organic solvents in the polymer matrix. There are still many hurdles to overcome, however, before they can be applied to commercial-level lithium-ion batteries. Since a large amount of organic solvent is required to achieve high ionic conductivity, battery safety is not significantly enhanced. In our study, we synthesized highly conductive quasi-solid-state electrolytes (QSEs) containing an ionically conductive oligomer (polycaprolactone triacrylate) and a small amount of organic solvent by employing click chemistry. In the QSE, polycaprolactone participates in dissociation of lithium salt and migration of lithium ions, resulting in high ionic conductivity. The Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell that used this QSE exhibited good cycling performance and enhanced thermal stability, and durability; no organic solvent leakage was observed even under high pressure.
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INTRODUCTION

Demand for high-energy density lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) has been growing rapidly with technological advances in mobile electronic devices, electric vehicles (EVs), and grid-scale energy storage systems (ESSs).1–3 These LIBs, however, have a critical drawback related to safety. Because they employ a highly flammable liquid electrolyte, abnormal operational conditions can lead to fire or explosions.4,5 To enhance LIB safety, an effort has been devoted to replacing the liquid electrolyte with a more reliable and safer electrolyte system.6,7 Various promising electrolyte candidates have been proposed including solid-state electrolytes, ionic liquid-based electrolytes, and gel polymer electrolytes. Solid-state electrolytes offer tremendous battery safety enhancements because the flammable organic solvents are excluded.8 However, these electrolytes suffer from low ionic conductivity at ambient temperatures and exhibit extremely high interfacial resistance between the solid electrolyte and solid electrodes, leading to poor cycling performance.9–11 Although ionic liquid-based electrolytes have enhanced thermal and oxidative stability, they have cathodic instability, high viscosity, poor polyolefin separator wettability, and high cost.12,13 Gel polymer electrolytes are composed of a polymer matrix and large number of organic solvents, offering relatively high ionic conductivity at a reasonable price. Furthermore, chemically cross-linked gel polymer electrolytes can prevent solvent leakage by encapsulating organic solvent in the polymer matrix.14–17 In spite of these attractive properties, cross-linked gel polymer electrolytes still have challenging issues to overcome. First, gel polymer electrolytes have limited thermal stability because of the large number of organic solvents used to achieve an ionic conductivity exceeding 1 mS cm−1.18,19 Second, side reactions may occur during the cross-linking reaction when forming the gel. This can cause capacity fading due to impurities affecting electrochemical performance. One of the approaches to solve the above issues is to use a quasi-solid-state electrolyte (QSE), which contains a minimum amount of organic solvents in the solid-state electrolyte. The cell employing QSE exhibited enhanced safety compared with the liquid electrolyte-based cell and better cycling performance than all-solid-state lithium batteries at ambient temperature. That is, the QSE has the
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combining advantages of liquid (good cycling performance) and solid (enhanced safety) electrolyte. Therefore, many efforts have been focused on the preparation and characterization of QSEs that balance cell performance and safety.\textsuperscript{20–23} However, the development of quasi-solid-state lithium batteries still struggles due to the drawbacks including insufficient ionic conductivity, complicated fabrication processes, and low safety level.\textsuperscript{24–26}

In our study, highly conductive quasi-solid-state electrolytes were synthesized and applied to a Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cell. To achieve high ionic conductivity with the QSE, polycaprolactone triacrylate (PCL-Ac) was synthesized and employed as a cross-linking agent as well as a polymer electrolyte. Polycaprolactone can solvate lithium salt and conduct Li\textsuperscript{+} ions because it is composed of repeating polar ester units and has high chain flexibility with a glass transition temperature of \textasciitilde{66 }°C.\textsuperscript{27,28} Accordingly, high ionic conductivity can be achieved by incorporating a small amount of organic solvent. To synthesize the chemically cross-linked polymer electrolyte, we used thiol–ene click chemistry, since the click reaction avoids side reactions and drives fast cross-linking under mild reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{29–32} The resulting QSE was applied to a lithium metal cell composed of a Li metal anode and a LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cathode. We investigated their electrochemical performance, thermal stability, and solvent leakage behavior.

\section*{EXPERIMENTAL SECTION}

\textbf{Materials.} Polycaprolactone triol (PCL-OH, \textit{M}\textsubscript{n} \textasciitilde 900) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and vacuum-dried at 50 °C for 12 h. Trimethylamine (TEA, anhydrous), tetrahydrofuran (THF, anhydrous), dimethyl carbonate (DMC, anhydrous), and acryloyl chloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without any treatment. Pentaerythritol tetraakis(3-mercaptopropionate) (PETMP, Sigma-Aldrich) and tert-butyl peroxypivalate (t-BPP, Arkema Inc.) were filtered under vacuum at 50 °C. To investigate the thermal safety of the Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cathode, the crude product was oxidized by iodine, resulting in erroneously high water content. After cell assembly, the cell was kept at 70 °C for 30 min to induce self-cross-linking. The Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cell with SE separator and QSE electrolyte (1.15 M LiPF\textsubscript{6} in EC/EMC/DMC (3/5/2 by volume) containing 5 wt % FEC) was also assembled for comparison. The same amount of liquid electrolyte (120 \(\mu\)L) was injected into the cell. The cell assembly was carried out in a glovebox filled with argon gas.

\textbf{Electrochemical Measurements.} For electrochemical measurements, the QSE precursor was injected into a silicon ring in a coin-type cell and thermally cured at 70 °C for 30 min. The ionic conductivity was measured by AC impedance using a CH instrument (CHI 660D) over a frequency range of 10\textsuperscript{−1}–10\textsuperscript{7} Hz with an amplitude of 50 mV. The electrochemical stability of QSE was evaluated using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) on a Pt working electrode with lithium metal as the reference and counter electrodes. The scan rate was 1 mV s\textsuperscript{−1} at 25 °C. A cycling test for the Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cells containing the liquid electrolyte and QSE was performed at a constant current rate in a voltage range of 3.0–4.2 V at 25 °C using a battery test equipment (WBCS 3000, Wonatech).

\textbf{Characterization.} The cross-sectional morphology of LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cathode with QSE was observed using a cross-sectional polisher (JEOL IB-09020 CP). The cross-sectional morphology of the LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cathode was examined using field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6330F). Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was carried out to investigate the elemental distribution in the cross-sectional area. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the precursor were recorded in acetone-d\textsubscript{4} solvent (Merck KGaA) before and after thermal curing to calculate the conversion of the click reaction. ATR-FTIR spectra were obtained between 400 and 4000 cm\textsuperscript{−1} using a Nicolet iS50 spectrometer. Raman spectroscopy was carried out using a LabRAM HR Evolution Raman spectrometer (Horiba Scientific, 785 nm laser source). The flammability of QSE was investigated by igniting the preweighed electrolyte and measuring the time for the flame to extinguish. The thermal safety of the Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} cell was evaluated by monitoring the open circuit voltage of the charged cell at 150 °C. To investigate the thermal stability of the cathode, we performed DSC measurements of the charged LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} with different electrolytes using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC Q20, TA Instrument) at a heating rate of 10 °C min\textsuperscript{−1} between 50 and 300 °C. The scratched powder containing QSE or liquid electrolyte was used for DSC measurements. We tore two small holes in the pouch bag to investigate the solvent leakage from the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi\textsubscript{0.6}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.2}O\textsubscript{2} pouch-type cell. The pouch cell was placed on a flat plate, and a constant 1 kgf/cm\textsuperscript{2} of pressure was applied to the pouch cell for 30 s. By measuring

\begin{table*}[ht]
\centering
\caption{Composition of the Precursor Used in Preparation of Quasi-Solid-State Electrolytes}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
precursor & PCL-Ac (wt \%) & PETMP (wt \%) & LiTFSI (wt \%) & DMC (wt \%) \\
\hline
C357 & 13.4 & 4.6 & 42.0 & 40.0 \\
C282 & 8.9 & 3.1 & 48.0 & 40.0 \\
C159 & 4.5 & 1.5 & 54.0 & 40.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table*}
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polycaprolactone triacrylate (PCL-Ac) was synthesized from the reaction between polycaprolactone triol (PCL-OH) and acryloyl chloride, as shown in Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of PCL-OH and PCL-Ac are shown in Figure S2. The broad peak observed between 3200 and 3600 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the $-\text{OH}$ group in PCL-OH. After reacting PCL-OH with acryloyl chloride, the $-\text{OH}$ peak disappeared and two new small peaks corresponding with PCL-Ac’s vinyl $\text{C}=\text{C}$ groups appeared at 1400 and 1640 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. These results indicate successful substitution of $-\text{OH}$ groups with acrylate groups.

Figure S3a and b show the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of PCL-OH and PCL-Ac, respectively. The peaks observed between 5.8 and 6.5 ppm in Figure S3b are vinyl protons in acrylate, which suggest that PCL-Ac was successfully synthesized from PCL-OH and acryloyl chloride. Chemically cross-linked QSE was synthesized by a thiol–ene click chemistry reaction between PETMP (thiol) and PCL-Ac (ene) in the presence of LiTFSI and DMC, as schematically presented in Figure 1. A series of QSEs was obtained by varying the ratio of click chemistry agents (PETMP, PCL-Ac) and salt (3:7, 2:8, 1:9), as previously described in Table 1. The resulting QSEs were free-standing and flexible films with no solvent leakage, irrespective of the precursor composition (Figure 1).

Figure 2a presents the AC impedance spectra of QSEs prepared with C1S9 at different temperatures. Their ionic conductivities were calculated from the electrolyte resistance corresponding to the intercept on the real axis. Figure 2b shows the ionic conductivity for QSEs prepared with different precursors as a function of temperature. As expected, the QSEs’ ionic conductivities increased with temperature. With increasing salt content, the ionic conductivity increased and reached a maximum value of $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C for the QSE prepared with C1S9, which can be ascribed to the increasing number of charge carriers, as salt concentration increased. Linear sweep voltammograms of QSEs at 25 °C are depicted in Figure 2c and d. A small and broad peak observed around 1.0 V vs Li/Li$^+$ in the cathodic scan is attributed to the reduction of the TFSI anion. The QSEs exhibit a large cathodic current at 0 V vs Li/Li$^+$, which corresponds to the reductive deposition of lithium onto the working electrode. The anodic scan reveals that all QSEs have oxidative stability exceeding 4.7 V vs Li/Li$^+$. Among the QSEs investigated, the QSE prepared with C1S9 showed the highest anodic stability. This is attributed to the high salt concentration in the electrolyte, as previously reported.

To investigate whether the PCL in QSE participates in lithium salt dissolution, we performed vibrational spectroscopy analysis. Liquid electrolytes and QSEs with different salt concentrations were prepared, as given in Table 2. FTIR spectra were recorded to investigate the change of local structure of carbonate and ester groups in DMC and
PCL-Ac as a function of salt concentration. Figures 3a and b show the FTIR spectra of liquid electrolytes and QSEs with different salt concentrations. In Figure 3a, the stretching vibration peaks for carbonate \( \text{C} = \text{O} \) and carbonate \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) in the liquid electrolyte were observed at 1751 and 1719 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. As salt concentration increased, the proportion of \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) increased from 45.1% (LE 1.5) to 72.4% (LE 5.4), as shown in Table S1. When the QSE peak was deconvoluted, four types of vibrational peaks were observed: carbonate \( \text{C} = \text{O} \) (DMC) at 1751 cm\(^{-1}\), ester \( \text{C} = \text{O} \) (PCL) at 1733 cm\(^{-1}\), carbonate \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) at 1719 cm\(^{-1}\), and ester \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) at 1706 cm\(^{-1}\). (Figure 3b). The relative intensities of carbonate \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) and ester \( \text{C} = \text{O} \cdots \text{Li}^+ \) peaks increased with salt concentration (Table S1).

Raman spectroscopy was also performed, and the results are given in Figures 4a and 4b. In the Raman spectra of Figures 4a and b, the peaks observed at 743, 746, and 750 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to CF\(_3\) bending vibrations of the TFSI anion in the free ions, contact ion pair (CIP), and aggregates (AGG), respectively. Figure 4c shows that the relative proportion of free TFSI anions was always higher in the QSEs than in the liquid electrolytes. These results demonstrate that PCL in the QSE participates in lithium salt dissolution. The results of FT-IR and Raman spectroscopy confirmed that PCL-Ac not only plays a role as a cross-linking agent for click chemistry reactions but also dissolves lithium salt and thus creates more free ions. Such a characteristic of PCL-Ac leads to high ionic conductivity of QSE even with a low DMC content. The electrochemical characteristics of liquid electrolyte (LE 5.4) and QSE (QSE 5.4) are compared in Figure S5. Although the QSE exhibited lower ionic conductivity than the liquid electrolyte, the oxidative stability of the QSE was much higher than that of the liquid electrolyte. This result suggests that the thermal cross-linking through the click reaction contributes to the enhancement of the electrochemical stability.

Minimizing any unreacted reactants in the QSEs after the cross-linking reaction is necessary, so we calculated conversion of reactants in the click chemistry reaction using \(^1\)H NMR analysis. For comparison, we also measured the conversion of reactants in the conventional free-radical reactions. The precursor for the click chemistry reaction was C1S9 (Table 1), while the precursor for the radical reaction was PCL-Ac and t-BPP without PETMP. A small amount (0.5 wt %) of...
ethylene carbonate (EC) was intentionally added to these precursors as an internal standard. Before thermal curing, the precursors containing EC were dissolved in acetone-d₆ and subjected to ¹H NMR analysis. In the ¹H NMR spectra of the precursors in Figure 5, the peaks observed at 6.35, 6.13, and 5.82 ppm can be assigned to protons (B), (C), and (D) of acrylate in PCL-Ac. The large and sharp peak at 4.54 ppm corresponds to proton (A) of EC. Its integrated intensity was used as a reference value for calculating the relative intensities of acrylate’s protons (B), (C), and (D). The intensities of protons (B), (C), and (D) with respect to proton (A) before curing are denoted as I_b, I_c, and I_d respectively. The precursors were thermally cured at 70 °C for 30 min, and the resulting QSEs were mixed with acetone-d₆ to extract EC and unreacted reactants. The extracted solutions were transferred into NMR tubes for ¹H NMR analysis. In the same way as above, the intensity of protons (B), (C), and (D) in unreacted acrylate is compared to proton (A) in EC after curing are expressed as I_b', I_c', and I_d', respectively. The reaction conversion of QSE could be then calculated by eq 1:

\[
\text{conversion (\%)} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{I_b'}{I_b} + \frac{I_c'}{I_c} + \frac{I_d'}{I_d}\right)\right) \times 100
\]  

(1)

Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of two QSEs (Figure 5c and d) reveals that the relative intensities of protons (B), (C), and (D) in QSE obtained by click chemistry reaction are much smaller than those in QSE obtained by radical polymerization. Reaction conversions, calculated using eq 1, were 96.8% and 88.0% for the click chemistry and radical reactions, respectively. This result implies that thermal curing by click chemistry reaction proceeds more rapidly than radical polymerization. Thus, the click reaction can attain higher conversion or higher degree of cross-linking compared to the radical reaction in a shorter time (30 min). These results demonstrate that the unreacted residual reactants can be minimized by synthesizing QSE through a click chemistry reaction rather than through radical polymerization.

Before cell assembly, the cross-sectional FE-SEM and EDX mapping images were obtained to confirm whether the QSEs deeply penetrated the pores of the electrodes. We spread the C1S9 precursor onto the LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cathode, and it was thermally cured at 70 °C for 30 min. The resulting cross-sectional FE-SEM and EDX mapping images are presented in Figure 6. As shown in Figure 6a, the precursor had penetrated into the electrode and was fully cross-linked. Some holes observed in the SEM image are attributed to DMC evaporation under vacuum during cross-sectional polishing and FE-SEM measurements. The EDX mapping image of sulfur arising from PETMP and LiTFSI in Figure 6b demonstrates that QSEs are deeply embedded and uniformly distributed within the LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ electrode. These results demonstrate that the continuous conduction pathways of lithium ions are well formed by QSE in the electrode.

The Li/LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cell was assembled with QSE made using the C1S9 precursor. Before the cycling test, the cell was preconditioned by cycling in the voltage range of 3.0 to 4.2 V at 0.05 C rate for two cycles and then charge–discharge cycled at a rate of 0.2 C at 25 °C. The voltage profiles and cycling performance of the QSE Li/LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cell after the preconditioning cycles are shown in Figure 7a and b, respectively. The cell initially delivered a discharge capacity of 146.8 mAh g⁻¹ based on the mass of LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ material in the cathode. During the repeated testing, the cell exhibited good stability with Coulombic efficiencies higher than 99.5% throughout. After 100 cycles, it delivered a discharge capacity of 142.2 mAh g⁻¹, which corresponds to 96.9% of its initial discharge capacity. For comparison, the Li/LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cell with liquid electrolyte was cycled under the same conditions. Its cycling performance is presented in Figure S6. Although its initial discharge capacity (~150.6 mAh g⁻¹) was somewhat higher than that of the cell with QSE, the capacity retention (93.2%) was lower. This result suggests that replacing the liquid electrolyte with QSE could improve the cycling stability of the Li/LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cell.

To investigate the interfacial stability, AC impedance spectra of the Li/LiNi₀.₆Co₀.₂Mn₀.₂O₂ cells with different electrolytes were obtained before and after 100 cycles, and the results are presented in Figure S7. In these spectra, the left semicircle is associated with resistance of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formed on the Li electrode (R_SEI), and the right one is attributed to the charge transfer resistance at electrode–electrolyte interface (R_ct), as given in the equivalent circuit. In the cell with liquid electrolyte, R_SEI was remarkably increased after cycling, which can be ascribed to the growth of the resistive layer on the Li electrode due to the deleterious reaction with liquid electrolyte. In contrast, the increase of cell resistances (R_p, R_SEI, R_ct) in the cell employing QSE was not so significant as compared to those of the liquid electrolyte-based...
Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cells were disassembled after 100 cycles and the morphologies of lithium electrodes were examined using scanning electron microscope. As shown in Figure S8a, the lithium electrode cycled in the liquid electrolyte exhibited a dendritic morphology with highly rough and uneven surface, which was caused by irregular lithium ion flux and side reactions between liquid electrolyte and lithium electrode. In contrast, the lithium electrode cycled with QSE showed a relatively flat and smooth surface (Figure S8b). This is because that the use of QSE allows the uniform lithium ion flux through the electrolyte and good interfacial contact between QSE and lithium electrodes. These results suggest that QSE leads to the uniform deposition and stripping of lithium during cycling, resulting in enhancement of the cycling stability of the Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell.

We also evaluated the rate capability of the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell. The cell was charged to 4.2 V at a rate of 0.1 C and discharged to 3.0 V at different current rates. This cycle was repeated five times at each C rate. The first discharge curves of the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell obtained at each current rate are presented in Figure 8a. As the current rate increased, the overpotential gradually increased and the discharge capacity decreased. As a result, the cell delivered a reduced discharge capacity of 111.9 mAh g−1 at the 1.0 C rate. Figure 8b compares the discharge capacities of the cells assembled with liquid electrolyte and QSE. The quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell delivered lower discharge capacities than the cell with liquid electrolyte at all the current rates. This can be ascribed to the higher internal cell resistance of the quasi-solid-state cell. The quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell recovered a reversible capacity at the 0.1 C rate after cycling at high current rates, indicating its good cycling stability.

The flammabilities of liquid electrolyte (1.15 M LiPF6 in EC/EMC/DMC) and QSE prepared with C1S9 were compared. Figure S9 presents the photographs of liquid electrolyte and QSE during the flammability test. When liquid electrolyte was exposed to a flame source, it caught a fire immediately and was burned for a long time. Its self-extinguishing time (SET) was measured to be 228.5 s g⁻¹. In contrast, QSE burned during ignition with a flame source, but extinguished immediately after removing the flame source. Its SET value was 1.2 s g⁻¹. These results imply that QSE is an almost nonflammable electrolyte.

To compare the thermal stability of the cells assembled with liquid electrolyte and quasi-solid-state electrolyte, the Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cells were fully charged to 4.2 V. The cells were disassembled in an argon-filled glovebox, and the charged Li1−xNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 material with electrolyte was collected by scratching it from the current collector. DSC measurements were then performed, and the resulting thermograms are shown in Figure 9. As shown in the figure, the delithiated Li1−xNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 material with liquid electrolyte showed large exothermic peaks around 248.2 °C with an exothermic heat of 320.0 J g⁻¹. This is due to the exothermic reaction between Li1−xNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 and the liquid electrolyte. In contrast, the charged Li1−xNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 material with quasi-solid-state electrolyte exhibited a small exothermic peak at 272.7 °C with a heat flow of 135.9 J g⁻¹. These results demonstrate that QSE is less reactive toward the delithiated Li1−xNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cathode material, which results in higher peak temperatures and reduced exothermic heat, which can lead to enhanced thermal stability for the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell.

The thermal safety of Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cells assembled with liquid electrolyte and QSE was compared by recording the voltage of the charged cells at 150 °C for 1 h, and the results are presented in Figure S10. The voltage of the cell with liquid electrolyte dropped to 0 V due to the short-circuit caused by thermal shrinkage of PE separator at high temperature. On the other hand, the voltage of the cell employing QSE was slightly decreased to 3.75 V without sudden voltage drop during heat exposure at 150 °C. These
Figure 5. $^1$H NMR spectra of precursors before (a) click chemistry and (b) radical reactions and $^1$H NMR spectra of the QSE extracted samples obtained by (c) click chemistry and (d) radical reactions.

Figure 6. (a) Cross-sectional SEM and (b) EDX mapping images of the LiNi$_{0.6}$Co$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.2}$O$_2$ electrode with QSE prepared from C1S9 precursor.
result indicate that the three-dimensional polymer network formed in QSE prevents the short-circuit at high temperature and thus enhances the thermal safety of the cell.

Leakage of the organic solvent from the cell was also investigated. Figure S11 illustrates the process for testing solvent leakage from the pouch cells. First, a stacked Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell with a 120 mAh capacity was fabricated in a pouch bag. Second, two small holes were torn at the top of the pouch bag. The pouch cell was then placed on a plate, and a constant pressure of 1 kgf cm\(^{-2}\) was applied to the cell for 30 s. After wiping off the electrolyte leakage with paper, the amount of leakage was calculated by measuring the weight of the pouch cell before and after loading. The quasi-solid-state cell exhibited no leakage. In contrast, the cell assembled with the liquid electrolyte lost 12.8 wt % of its initial amount. These results suggest that the organic solution is effectively encapsulated in the QSE quasi-solid-state cell, which can suppress performance degradation and reduce safety issues associated with flammable organic solvent leakage.

---

**CONCLUSIONS**

To enhance battery safety, a quasi-solid-state electrolyte was synthesized using a thiol–ene click chemistry reaction with a precursor consisting of PCL-Ac, PETMP, LiTFSI, and DMC. The resulting QSE exhibited a high ionic conductivity of 2.2 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) Sc m\(^{-1}\) and anodic stability exceeding 4.7 V vs Li/Li\(^+\) at ambient temperature. The PCL-Ac used as a cross-linking agent dissolved the lithium salt, leading to a QSE with high ionic conductivity. The thermal curing in the click chemistry reaction was more facile than that through radical polymerization, and the residual reactants could be minimized in the

---

**Figure 7.** Cycling performance of the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell assembled with QSE synthesized from C1S9 at 25 °C. (a) Voltage profiles and (b) discharge capacities as a function of cycle number.

**Figure 8.** (a) Discharge curves of the quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 cell with C1S9 at different current rates and (b) discharge capacities of the cells with different electrolytes as a function of C rate.

**Figure 9.** DSC thermograms of the delithiated Li\(_{1-x}\)Ni\(_{0.6}\)Co\(_{0.2}\)Mn\(_{0.2}\)O\(_2\) materials with liquid electrolyte and QSE.
QSE synthesized using click chemistry is a safer and more enhanced thermal safety. Our results demonstrate that the cell assembled with QSE exhibited stable cycling performance via the click reaction. The quasi-solid-state Li/LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O_{2} cell with liquid electrolyte; AC electrolyte and QSE; cycling performance of the Li/LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O_{2} cells; impedance spectra of the Li/LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O_{2} cells; photographs of liquid electrolyte and QSE during flammability test; variation of open circuit voltage of the charged Li/LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O_{2} cells at 150 °C; leakage test of the pouch cell (PDF)
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